

Classical deductive systems

**Axiomatic Systems**

Valentin Goranko

School of Mathematics, University of the Witwatersrand

## Hilbert-style axiomatic systems

- Based on axioms (or, axiom schemes), and only one or two simple rules of inference.
- Relatively easy to extract from the semantics and reason about.  
In particular, suitable to do induction on derivations.
- Practically not very convenient and useful, because the derivations are not well-structured.
- In particular, not suitable for automated reasoning.

## The axiomatic system H for the classical propositional logic

*Axioms schemes for  $\neg$  and  $\rightarrow$ :*

$$(\rightarrow 1) \quad A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A);$$

$$(\rightarrow 2) \quad (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C));$$

$$(\rightarrow 3) \quad (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow ((\neg B \rightarrow A) \rightarrow B).$$

The only rule of inference: **Modus ponens**:

$$\frac{A, A \rightarrow B}{B}.$$

*Axioms schemes for  $\wedge$ :*

$$(\wedge 1) \quad (A \wedge B) \rightarrow A;$$

$$(\wedge 2) \quad (A \wedge B) \rightarrow B;$$

$$(\wedge 3) \quad (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B \wedge C)).$$

*Axioms schemes for  $\vee$ :*

$$(\vee 1) \quad A \rightarrow A \vee B;$$

$$(\vee 2) \quad B \rightarrow A \vee B;$$

$$(\vee 3) \quad (A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \vee B \rightarrow C)).$$

## Derivations in $\mathbf{H}$

**Formula derivable from a set of assumptions:**  $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathbf{H}} A$

if there is a finite sequence of formulae  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ , such that for every  $i \leq n$ :

$A_i$  is either an instance of an axiom of  $\mathbf{H}$ ,

or a formula from  $\Gamma$ ,

or is obtained from some  $A_j, A_k$  for  $j, k < i$ , by applying the rule Modus Ponens.

$A$  is a **theorem of  $\mathbf{H}$** , if  $\emptyset \vdash_{\mathbf{H}} A$ , also denoted  $\vdash_{\mathbf{H}} A$ .

**Example:**  $\vdash_{\mathbf{H}} (p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$  :

1.  $\vdash_{\mathbf{H}} (p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow p$ , by Axiom ( $\wedge 1$ );
2.  $\vdash_{\mathbf{H}} (p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ , by Axiom ( $\wedge 2$ );
3.  $\vdash_{\mathbf{H}} ((p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow (((p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow ((p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q))$ , by Axiom ( $\rightarrow 2$ );
4.  $\vdash_{\mathbf{H}} (p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow p \rightarrow ((p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q)$ , by 2,3 and Modus Ponens;
5.  $\vdash_{\mathbf{H}} (p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$ , by 1,4 and Modus Ponens.

## Using the Deduction Theorem

**Deduction Theorem:** For any set of formulae  $\Gamma$  and formulae  $A$  and  $B$ :

$$\Gamma \cup \{A\} \vdash_{\mathbf{H}} B \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash_{\mathbf{H}} A \rightarrow B.$$

Example  $\vdash_{\mathbf{H}} (p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$  revisited:

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 1. $p \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \vdash_{\mathbf{H}} p,$              | by Axiom ( $\wedge 1$ ) and the Deduction Theorem; |
| 2. $p \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \vdash_{\mathbf{H}} p \rightarrow q$ | by Axiom ( $\wedge 2$ ) and the Deduction Theorem; |
| 3. $p \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \vdash_{\mathbf{H}} q$               | by 1,2, and Modus Ponens;                          |
| 4. $\vdash_{\mathbf{H}} (p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$ | by 3 and the Deduction Theorem.                    |

Example:  $p, \neg p \vdash_{\mathbf{H}} q$ :

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. $\neg p \vdash_{\mathbf{H}} \neg q \rightarrow \neg p,$                          | by Axiom ( $\rightarrow 1$ ) and the Deduction Theorem; |
| 2. $\vdash_{\mathbf{H}} (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q),$ | by Axiom ( $\rightarrow 3$ );                           |
| 3. $\neg p \vdash_{\mathbf{H}} p \rightarrow q,$                                    | by 1,2, and Modus Ponens;                               |
| 4. $p, \neg p \vdash_{\mathbf{H}} q,$   | by 3 and the Deduction Theorem.                         |

## Extending H to first-order logic

Axioms for the system **QH** (with  $\forall$  as the only quantifier in the language):

(**Ax $\forall$ 1**)  $\forall x(A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \rightarrow (\forall xA(x) \rightarrow \forall xB(x))$ ;

(**Ax $\forall$ 2**)  $\forall xA(x) \rightarrow A(t/x)$  where  $t$  is any term free for substitution for  $x$  in  $A$ ;

(**Ax $\forall$ 3**)  $A \rightarrow \forall xA$  where  $x$  is not free in the formula  $A$ ;

The rule of *generalization*:

$$\frac{A}{\forall xA}.$$

Axioms for the equality:

(**Ax = 1**)  $x = x$

(**Ax = 2**)  $x = y \rightarrow y = x$

(**Ax = 3**)  $x = y \wedge y = z \rightarrow x = z$ .

(**Ax  $f$** )  $x_1 = y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n = y_n \rightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  for every  $n$ -ary functional symbol  $f$ .

(**Ax  $r$** )  $x_1 = y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n = y_n \rightarrow (p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow p(y_1, \dots, y_n))$  for every  $n$ -ary predicate symbol  $p$ .